



wystem.ai, mapped to roles in the social sector.

One knowledge commons, grown
from the documents social-sector
organisations already hold. Here is
what it does — for each kind of work
in the sector.

— WHAT WYSTEM IS

A commons that reads our documents and turns them into **Insights anyone can use.**

Share a folder of work as it is. The **Mycelium** engine parses every file, grounds it in evidence, and publishes findable, cited knowledge on Wystem — searchable in eight languages.

STEP 01

Your folder

Share an existing Drive folder, or upload directly. Nothing to reorganise.



STEP 02

Mycelium reads

The engine parses every file and grounds each claim in its source.



STEP 03

Insights surface

Cited, searchable knowledge appears on the commons for everyone.

— THE BUILDING BLOCKS

Eight surfaces, drawn from the same grounded corpus.



Search the Commons

Search in plain language; get a synthesised answer with clickable citations.

SEARCH



Findings

Patterns that hold across two or more organisations, with a confidence score.

CROSS-ORG EVIDENCE



Data Stories

A deep, structured read of a single dataset — key numbers and caution flags.

DATASET ANALYSIS



Recipes

Reusable methods lifted from real documents — when to use them, step by step.

REUSABLE METHODS



Articles

Cited, ready-to-read syntheses of a body of work, with a topic chat alongside.

NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS



Signals

Voiced demands and open questions the commons is asking for, across orgs.

VOICED DEMAND



Organisation pages

An auto-built map of an org's work — projects, sectors, and where it's filed.

LIVE ORG PROFILE



Programmes

Many projects across partners rolled up into one shareable page and timeline.

CROSS-ORG ROLL-UP

— WHO THE COMMONS IS FOR

Three kinds of work hold the social sector together.

PILLAR 01

Strategy, Research & Knowledge Systems

Knowledge Architect · Research Director · Policy Analyst · M&E Lead

Search

Data Stories

Recipes

PILLAR 02

Program Management & Field Operations

Program Director · Field Coordinator · Community Mobilizer · Capacity Builder

Programmes

Timeline

Org pages

PILLAR 03

Funding, Advocacy & Communications

Development Director · Grant Writer · Advocacy Campaigner · Communications Lead

Findings

Articles

Signals

- We'll take each pillar in turn, mapping Wystem's features to the work it does day to day.

01

PILLAR ONE

Strategy, Research & Knowledge Systems

Understanding root causes, designing interventions, and preserving institutional and community memory. Where Wystem turns the corpus into evidence you can build on.

PILLAR 01 · THE WORK

What this work looks like

- Knowledge Architect
- Research Director
- Policy Analyst
- Monitoring & Evaluation Lead



Design **frameworks and theories of change** from proven methods.



Search the **commons** for what the sector already knows.



Synthesise field evidence into **cited briefs and reports**.



Read **survey and evaluation data** with key numbers and confidence flags.

🔍 SEARCH

Search the Commons

Ask in plain language, or name an organisation. Wystem reads **every relevant document** — not just the closest match — and returns a synthesised, fully-cited answer beside a ranked list of its sources.

- 1 Type the question the way you'd ask a colleague.
- 2 Read the synthesis and **open every cited source**.
- 3 Scan the ranked Sources, or follow up in chat scoped to an org or project.

TRUST Every sentence in the answer carries a footnote to a real document.

wystem.ai BETA

English · IN BENGALURU · FEATURE YOUR WORK

[← Back to home](#) · ASKING THE COMMONS · [OMS · CACHED](#)

🔍 Ask the commons or find an organisation, project, or artefact

🔍

YOUR QUESTION

how to run a citizen deliberation

THE COMMONS READS

Thoughtfully composed citizen juries are critical for ensuring representation, managing biases, and establishing grounded and legitimate outcomes ^[1]. Structuring small stakeholder groups around tables of four or five allows natural, focused interactions ^[2]. Organisations like Socratus orchestrate citizen juries and assemblies by refining processes such as the selection, training, and real-time adjustment of jury dynamics ^{[1][3]}. Running an active deliberation session requires precise time-boxed steps, utilizing breakout sessions with structured 10 to 12-minute question intervals ^[4].

[1] ⁹⁹ Justicemaker's Mela_Messenger ... · Socr...

[2] ⁹⁹ MLF x YouCAN_Seyalurn Neelagiriyum...

[3] ⁹⁹ Sensome_Note for the Elephant Lap

[4] ⁹⁹ Session 2 Transcript

OR EXPLORE THE FRAMINGS · PICK ONE TO READ

01 BY OUTCOME · 3 citations

Establishing Credibility and Trustworthiness in Citizen Juror Decisions

Thoughtfully composed citizen juries are critical for ensuring representation, managing biases, and establishing grounded and legitimate outcomes.

→

02 STAKEHOLDER LENS · 2 citations

Empowering Participants Through Structured Facilitation and Open Dialogue

Structuring small stakeholder groups around tables of four or five allows natural, focused interactions.

→

03 BY ORGANISATION · 4 citations

How Socratus and Partners Operationalize Deliberative Methodologies

Organisations like Socratus orchestrate citizen juries and assemblies by refining processes such as the selection, training, and real-time adjustment of jur...

→

04 BY TIME PERIOD · 2 citations

Iterative Steps and Process Management in Deliberative Events

Running an active deliberation session requires precise time-boxed steps, utilizing breakout sessions with structured 10 to 12-minute question intervals.

→

Read a dataset, from every angle

For M&E and research: a structured read of a survey or dataset that turns raw numbers into **several perspectives** — the headline findings, the themes beneath them, what they imply, and the questions they open.

- 1 Open the Data Story for your dataset.
- 2 Move from headline findings to themes, implications, and open questions.
- 3 Interrogate the raw data in the side-chat.

TRUST The dataset chat answers only from the raw data — exact figures, never guesses.

The screenshot displays a web interface for a data story. At the top, the URL 'wystem.ai/story/...' is visible, followed by the title 'Citizens Picture a Developed India B...' and an 'OPEN LIVE' button. Below the URL bar, there are navigation buttons: 'Back', 'Share', and 'Report'. The main content area features the title 'Citizens Picture a Developed India Built on Basic Needs' and a subtitle 'VIKSIT BHARAT SURVEY · DEEP DATA'. A quote from 'Socratus' describes the 'Viksit Bharat' as less about futuristic technology and more about basic needs like paved roads and clean villages. Below the quote is a placeholder image for 'Melukote, Mandya, Karnataka'. To the right, a side chat titled 'Query Raw Dataset' allows users to ask specific questions about the data, with suggested queries like 'What are the main findings from this dataset?'. At the bottom, an 'EXECUTIVE SUMMARY' section provides a brief overview of the survey findings.

Methods, lifted from real work

A recipe captures a method from real documents — when to use it, the approach, numbered steps, and a link to every source. The raw material for **frameworks and theories of change** — composable with related methods.

- 1 Find the recipe for the method you need.
- 2 Borrow the steps and the source documents.
- 3 Ask the side-chat to adapt it to your context.

TRUST

The recipe chat is scoped to source documents — it only draws on the docs the method came from.

wystem.ai/recipe/... · River Diagrams & Four Futures Met...

OPEN LIVE ↗

Back
Share
Report

RECIPE · CIVIC ENGAGEMENT · 4 STEPS · 29 MAY 2026

Categorize Community Goals Using a Seeds, Weeds, and Fruits Method

Capita

wystem.ai

ICLES

FINDINGS

TOOL

RECIF

Sticky note

India

ORIES

SIGNALS

G

community_planning · workshop_design · stakeholder_alignment

SITUATIONAL CONTEXT

Use this when a diverse group of stakeholders needs to move from a complex system map into concrete action plans. It works best with small groups of 5 to 10 people sitting together in circles.

STRATEGIC APPROACH

This method replaces standard brainstorming with a three-part organic metaphor. By looking at ideas as 'Seeds' (opportunities), 'Weeds' (challenges), and 'Fruits' (goals), participants can clearly trace how a current best practice can grow into a final recommendation. It forces groups to explicitly identify the specific blockers that stop good ideas from working before deciding on a final goal.

Recipe Sources

SCOPED TO SOURCE DOCUMENTS

I can only draw from the specific documents that were used to create this recipe. Ask me about the practices, evidence, or context described.

Ask about the practices in this recipe...

AI generated · Verify facts

02

PILLAR TWO

Program Management & Field Operations

The operational engine — translating strategy into on-the-ground reality and staying in direct contact with communities. **Where Wystem coordinates work across partners and time.**

PILLAR 02 · THE WORK

What this work looks like

- Program Director
- Field Coordinator
- Community Mobilizer
- Capacity Builder



Coordinate **many projects and partners** as one programme.



Track **activities and milestones** across projects on one timeline.



See **where work reaches communities**, mapped place by place.



Find **gaps in coverage** across the work.

△ PROGRAMMES


Many projects, one programme

Wystem groups related projects into a programme on its own.

Melukote First pulls 10 projects and 6 partner organisations into one page — the coordination view a single project never shows.

- 1 Open the programme to see every project and partner.
- 2 See who contributes what — across organisations.
- 3 Share one link instead of chasing scattered updates.

TRUST A shareable link reveals only the synthesised view — partners' raw files stay private.





One merged timeline — readable in eight languages.

- 1

A merged chronology. 31 events across every project in one timeline — for tracking milestones and events.
- 2

In any of 8 languages. A local partner switches to Hindi, Kannada, Tamil and more in one click.




Your work, mapped automatically

Your organisation page is an **auto-built map** of everything you do — projects, sectors you work in, and a places map of where it's filed on the ground. A live picture for coordinating the field.

- 1 Open your org page as the map of your work.
- 2 See sectors, projects, and the places map.
- 3 Spot what's well-covered — and what's missing.

HONEST Built from what you've filed — so gaps on the page point to gaps in the record.



03

PILLAR THREE

Funding, Advocacy & Communications

Securing the resources to operate and the visibility to shift public opinion and policy.

Where Wystem turns the corpus into evidence, narrative, and reach.

PILLAR 03 · THE WORK

What this work looks like

- Development Director
- Grant Writer
- Advocacy Campaigner
- Communications Lead



Build the funding case on **cross-org evidence and confidence scores**.



Draft proposals on **cited evidence**, every claim traced to source.



Anchor campaigns in **voiced community demand** and cross-org patterns.



Turn the evidence into **cited, ready-to-publish narratives**.

Evidence for proposals & advocacy

Findings surface patterns that hold across two or more organisations, each with respondent coverage and a confidence score — exactly the cited evidence a proposal or campaign needs.

- 1 Pull relevant Findings as evidence for your case.
- 2 Lift cited quotes and numbers from the sources.
- 3 Cite confidence and coverage — not just a claim.

HONEST

Wystem hands you the evidence and a first draft — you do the final shaping.

wystem.ai/finding/... · 80% confidence · 3 organisations

OPEN LIVE ↗

← Back

< Share

Report

wystem.ai

BETA

🔍

Search the commons

🔍

English

IN BENGALURU

FEATURE YOUR WORK

FINDING · METHODOLOGY · 80% CONFIDENCE · 28 MAY 2026

Organizations Shift From Passive Climate Education To Embodied Community Action

D Dhi Contemporary

🌱 Reap Benefit

🌐 Socratus

TOOL

Persian wheel

Karnataka

Reap Benefit, Dhi Contemporary, and other groups are debating how to effectively translate environmental awareness into local action. While some compile critical archives of intergenerational climate wisdom, others argue that passive education breeds inaction, advocating instead for physical games and ward-level bodily experiences.

, A reading: If Dhi Contemporary and Reap Benefit compared notes on their environmental engagement models, they could explore pairing Dhi's intergenerational climate archives with Reap Benefit's physical youth mobilization in Shantinagar to drive immediate community action.

KEY FINDINGS

Query Raw Dataset

DIRECT DATA INTERROGATION

I have direct access to the raw dataset behind this insight. Ask me specific questions about the data — exact figures, patterns, or anomalies.

SUGGESTED

Can you explain: "Organizations contrast methods of environmental knowledge transfer; Dhi Contemporary focuses on publishing the "second" edition of its climate wisdom archive, while Reap Benefit questions this cerebral approach, asking how to get people to actually cook together instead of just making a recipe book"?

Can you explain: "Organizations recognize that passive awareness fails to drive ecological change; Reap Benefit argues that education often becomes a proxy for inaction, while Dhi Contemporary has utilized interactive platforms since "2014" to bridge the gap between global crises and local dialogues"?

Can you explain: "To transition from cerebral learning to active engagement, organizations design interactive local interventions; while Reap Benefit emphasizes physical connection with the ward, anonymous documentation details an environmental game titled "20 Minute Game for Adults" to spark empathy and co-create symbolic solutions for rivers"?

Ask about the data — metrics, counts, patterns

↗

ARTICLES


A cited narrative, ready to read

Every claim footnoted to its source — the **raw narrative** for a donor brief, a blog post, or a press story.

- 1 Open an Article on a topic you're communicating.
- 2 Read the synthesis with every figure cited.
- 3 Ask the topic chat to reframe it for your audience.

TRUST

Every number links to its source — verify before it goes in a proposal or to press.



What communities are actually asking for.

Signals are the demands and open questions the commons surfaces across organisations — the live evidence base for an advocacy hook or a campaign message.

⌘ VOICED DEMAND · 6× VOICED

Systems to express and share visceral emotions in public deliberations.

Surfaced across deliberation transcripts — a clear advocacy hook.

[.] OPEN QUESTION

What organic alternatives are most profitable for farmers escaping chemical debt?

An open question the corpus hasn't yet resolved — a research and funding gap.

— ACROSS EVERY VIEW

Built to **show its evidence** — not just to sound confident.

1 Every fact links to its source

Click any citation to open the original document the claim came from.

2 Confidence, in the open

Thin data gets a low score and a "read with caution" banner that explains why.

3 The chat can't make things up

It answers only from the documents in front of you, and footnotes every claim.

4 Your raw documents stay private

Only grounded insights surface; names and addresses are stripped before publishing.

— GETTING YOUR WORK IN

Two ways to feed the commons.

A Share a Drive folder

Share an existing Google Drive folder, read-only, with the Mycelium ingestion account and paste the link. Nothing to reorganise — keep working as you do.

B Upload directly

Go to wystem.ai/contribute, label your project, and drag in files or a whole folder. Done in a couple of minutes.

Then **Mycelium** parses and indexes everything, and generates Articles, Findings, Recipes and Data Stories as patterns emerge.

Raw documents stay private

Names & addresses stripped

Routine admin docs filtered out

Delete a file → its insights retire



Our collective memory — made trustworthy and reusable.

Share a folder this week · contribute@socratus.org

— MYCELIUM READS · WYSTEM SURFACES —